<u>s</u>	nr'DktNP		A H
) H	N THE UNITED STATES	PATENT AND TRADEMARK (	OFFICE 750
Application	ication of: Uwe Flessner n No.: 107 049,744 by 16, 2002	Group No.: 1754 Examiner: (Not yet assignerally STALYSTS BY ACID ACTIVATION	office PECEIV JUL 15 2003 afirmation No. 6015
			ofirmation No. 6015
			illimation No. 0013
	5171	TOS INQUINT	
WARININ		er a Notice of Allowance may subect an app 37 C.F.R. § 1.704(c)(10). See Notice of ma	
1. More	than $13$ months have p	passed since	,
প্র	NEW APPLICATIONS		•
	the filing of this application	onMay 16, 2002	•
	No communication has been indicating action on this ap	en received from the Patent and I plication.	Frademark Office
□	AMENDED APPLICATIONS		
	the filing of a response on .		
	No further communication to Office.	nas been received from the Paten	t and Trademark
	APPEALED APPLICATION		
	The Appeal Brief was fi	iled on	
	(When using Express Mail, th	DER 37 C.F.R. §§ 1.8(a) and 1.10* e Express Mail label number is mandate il certification is optional.)	ory;
I hereby cert	ify that, on the date shown below,	this correspondence is being:	
,		MAILING	
☑ deposite	t <del>or, D.C2023+</del> , P.O. Box	vice in an envelope addressed to the Com : 1450, Alexandria, VA 223 37 C.F.R. § 1.10°	313-1450.
with suff	37 C.F.R. § 1.8(a) icient postage as first class mail.	☐ as "Express Mail Post Office to A	•
		Mailing Cabel No.	(mandatory)
Date:	<u>ly 7,</u> 2003	Dorothy Goodlett	
		(type or print name of person certifyii	na)

(Status Inquiry [9-3]-page 1 of 3)

<sup>\*</sup> Only the date of filing (§ 1.6) will be the date used in a patent term adjustment calculation, although the date on any certificate of mailing or transmission under § 1.8 continues to be taken into account in determining timeliness. See § 1.703(f). Consider "Express Mail Post Office to Addressee" (§ 1.10) or facsimile transmission (§ 1.6(d)) for the reply to be accorded the earliest possible filing date for patent term adjustment calculations.

	' (check and comple	te applicable items below)		
	☐ An Examiner's Ar	nswer was mailed on		
	☐ A Reply to the E	caminer's Answer was submitted on		
	ALLOWED APPLICATIONS			
_	the mailing of FORM POL-327	and/or Examiner's Amendment on		
•	<del>_</del>	e present status of this application, by checking eturn-addressed envelope is provided.		
	I.P.E.P. § 203.08 Status Inquiries, 8th Edition, cautions as to the submission of status inquiries as illows:			
"/	NEW APPLICATION			
	of Form PTOL-37 in every case of allow in addition to a formal Notice of Allowand the need for status inquiries even as a or her new application may have been	wide for the routine mailing from the Technology Centers (TCs) vance of an application. Thus, the mailing of a form PTOL-37 ce (PTOL-85) in all allowed applications would seem to obviate precautionary measure where the applicant may believe his n passed to issue on the first examination. However, as an appropriate where a Notice of Allowance is not received within DL-37.		
	dockets of each art unit and TC with re of the "oldest new applications" appea	n to minimize the spread in dates among the various examiner spect to actions on new applications. Accordingly, the dates ring in the Official Gazette are fairly reliable guides as to the miners reach the applications or action.		
	"Therefore, it should be rarely necessar	ry to query the status of a new application.		
<b>"</b> /	AMENDED APPLICATIONS			
	two months of the date the examiner in order after reply by the attorney until A postcard receipt for replies to Office a will be considered prima facie proof of ifiling of a reply, the submission of a copy	be taken up by the examiner and an action completed within receives the application. Accordingly, a status inquiry is not 5 or 6 months have elapsed with no response from the Office. ctions, adequately and specifically identifying the papers filed, receipt of such papers. Where such proof indicates the timely of the postcard with a copy of the feply will ordinarily obviate of receipt of a timely reply to a final action will poviate the reply was in compliance with 31 CFR 1.13."		
Rea No:	31,945	/ · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
110g. 110	31,943	Scott R. Cox		
		(type or print name of practitioner)		
Tel. No.: (	502 ) 589-4215	400 West Market St., Suite 2200		
	302 , 307 4213	P.O. Address		
Customer	No.:	Louisville, KY 40202		

(Status Inquiry [9-3]—page 2 of 3)

(Rel 91\_7/02 Pub 605)

FORM 0.3

9\_10

## STATUS INQUIRY REPLY

APPLIC	ATIC	ON SERIAL NO. 0 / IS CURRENTLY
	AS	SIGNED TO GROUP AND AWAITS:
		ACTION BY THE EXAMINER.
		APPLICANT'S RESPONSE TO THE OFFICE ACTION MAILED
		<del></del>
APPEAL	NO	·
		AWAITING ACTION BY THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFER- CES
		DATE OF HEARING EXPECTED
		DECISION EXPECTED